Details

Component 1: Creation of Universities by way of Up gradation of Existing Autonomous Colleges

State level plan should examine the proposal from the state university to up-grade the existing autonomous college into a university. As the proposal from the university is accompanied with details of autonomous college, the research publications of all the teachers of Autonomous college, report of Autonomous college on the willingness to be up graded to the university status and financial plan, the Higher education Council may consult Directorate of Higher Education and management and Principal of Autonomous college. After having carefully scrutinised the state level proposal may include the following information:

1. Discussion and Minutes

Level	Persons	Decisions and dates
At the level of university	VC and officials along with Principals, teachers and Management of Autonomous colleges	
At the State level	State directorate, HEC and VC and Management of Autonous colleges	

2. Details of the College (2012-13) Proposed for up-gradation

	Indicator	Unit	Autonomou s College A	Autonomo us College B	Autono mous College C & so on.
Whether		category			
Govt./Pvt./Aided?		.,			
In existence for		Years			
number of years?					
Year of		Year			
Establishment					
Land Area of the		Acres			
College					
Whether College		Yes/no			
with Potential for					
Excellence (CPE)					
Number of		Number			
Departments					
Total Students		Number			
Enrolled in					
undergraduate					
programme					
Total Students		Number			
Enrolled in					

		I	T	T	1 1
postgraduate					
programme					
Total Number of		number			
Teachers					
(sanctioned					
positions)					
Student Teacher		Ratio			
(sanctioned					
position) Ratio					
Total Number of		Ratio			
Teachers (actual	teachers/sancti				
in position)	oned teachers				
	Contractual or	Ratio			
	ad-hoc				
	teachers/				
	sanctioned				
	teachers				
Total number of		number			
Administrative					
and Support Staff					
Accreditation		Grade			
Grade					
Number of Books		Number			
in Library					
Number of		Number			
Computers					
Student in Hostels		Number			
	SC Girls	Number			
	SC Boys	Number			
	ST Girls	Number			
	ST Boys	Number			
	OBC Girls	Number			
	OBC Boys	Number			
	Other Girls	Number			
	Other Boys	Number			
Number of	2000 2040	Number			
Quarters					
Whether separate		Yes/no			
Sports Complex		1 23/110			
Whether		Yes/no			
Academic Council		. 53, 1.0			
in position					
Whether Board of		Yes/no			
		1 03/110			
Studies/Research					
Councils in					
position					
Whether Finance		Yes/no			
Committees in					
position					
Adherence to		Yes/no			
-	-			•	

	T	r	1	
financial norms				
for creation of				
infrastructure				
Jurisdiction	Unitary/Affiliati			
mentioned for the	ng			
proposed				
university				
whether unitary				
or Affiliating?				
Reservation for	In Admission –	%		
socially &	SC	/0		
economically	30			
weaker sections-	CT	0/		
	ST	%		
existing	OBC	% Datia		
Inter disciplinary	Number/total	Ratio		
& cross				
disciplinary				
programs				
Commitment to		Yes/no		
Governance,				
Academic &				
Examination				
reforms				
Commitment to		Yes/no		
include ICT in				
teaching-learning				
process				
Teaching to non		Ration		
teaching staff				
ratio				
Total Revenue	Amount	In lakhs		
(including grants	,	idikiis		
from government				
and UGC) (2012-				
13)				
Total per annum				
expenditure(2012-				
13)				
5 Important				
reasons for				
upgradation				

Note: Give in a separate sheet (appended herewith) the research publications of all the teachers of Autonomous colleges A, B and C.

Research Publications list

Name	of	the	Name of the teacher	Title of the paper	Research journal
departm	<u>ent</u>				(only referred)

Note: Give maximum 3 publications of a teacher in the above table

3. Give the Physical and Financial Plan as per the following table (Cost per sq meter as per the RUSA guideline) for each Autonomous College A, B and C:

		nomous lege A	Autonom	nous College B	Autonomous College C & so on.	
The proposed college falls under, please specify (Tier-1/Tier-2/Tier-3)						
Details for the proposed college	Physical Value (Area in Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)
Administrative Area						
School of Sciences						
School of Social Sciences						
School of Engineering, Technology & Computer Technology						
School of Teacher Education						
School of Humanities and Liberal Arts						
Classrooms (Common)						
Central library						
Auditorium						
Canteen/Cafeteria/Toilet Blocks/Misc.						
Hostel						

Total			

Component 2: Creation of Universities by conversion of colleges in a cluster

State level plan should examine the proposal from the state university to establish cluster college into a university. As the proposal from the university is accompanied with details of lead and cluster colleges, the research publications of all the teachers of Lead college, report of Lead and cluster college on the willingness to be up graded to the university status and financial plan, the Higher education Council may consult Directorate of Higher Education and management and Principal of Lead and cluster colleges. After having carefully scrutinised the state level proposal may include the following information:

1. Discussion and Minutes

Level	Persons	Decisions and dates
At the level of university	VC and officials along with	
	Principals, teachers and	
	Management of Lead and	
	cluster colleges	
At the State level	State directorate, HEC and VC	
	and Management of lead and	
	cluster colleges	

2. Proposal of Lead and cluster colleges with basic information (Maximum of three such proposals A, B and C may be finalised at the state level)

Proposal A

	Indicator	Unit	Lead	Cluster	Cluster	Cluster	Cluster	Cluster
			College	college 1	college 2	college 3	college 4	college 5
Whether		Category		_	_		-	
Govt./Aided/Private								
Name of College								
Distance from Lead								
College (KM)								
Land Area of the								
College								
Year of								
Establishment								
Whether								
Autonomous								
college								
Whether College								
with Potential for								
Excellence (CPE)								
Number of								
Departments								
Total Students								
Enrolled in								

				I	I		
undergraduate							
programme							
Total Students							
Enrolled in							
postgraduate							
programme							
Total Number of							
Teachers							
(sanctioned							
positions)							
Student Teacher							
(sanctioned							
position) Ratio							
Total Number of							
Teachers (actual in							
position)							
Total number of							
Administrative and							
Support Staff							
Accreditation Grade							
Number of Books in							
Library							
Number of							
Computers							
Student in Boys	SC	Number					
Hostels							
	ST	Number					
	OBC	Number					
	Others	Number					
Student in Girls	SC	Number					
Hostels							
	ST	Number					
	OBC	Number					
	Others	Number					
Number of							
Quarters							
Whether separate							
Sports Complex							
Whether Academic							
Council in position							
Whether Board of							
Studies/Research							
Councils in position							
Whether Finance							
Committees in							
position							
Whether it is		Yes/no					
autonomous		163/110					
college							
Total revenue	Amount	Rs. In					
TOTALIEVELIUE	Amount	113. 111	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

accrual of constituent colleges		lakhs				
Total Revenue (including grants from government and UGC) (2012-13)	Amount	Rs. Ir lakhs	1			
Total per annum expenditure(2012-13)	Amount	Rs. Ir lakhs	1			
Five important reasons for university up gradation						

Note: Give in a separate sheet (appended herewith) the research publications of all the teachers of Lead college and all cluster colleges.

3. Give the Physical and Financial Plan as per the following table (Cost per sq meter as per the RUSA guideline) for each proposal A, B and C:

	Cluster C	ollege 1	Cluster C	ollege 2	Cluster College 3	
The proposed college falls under, please specify (Tier-1/Tier-2/Tier-3)						J
Details for the proposed college	Physical Value (Area in Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area in Sq. Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. inlakhs)
Administrative Area						
School of Sciences						
School of Social Sciences						
School of Engineering, Technology & Computer Technology						
School of Teacher Education						
School of Humanities and Liberal Arts						
Classrooms (Common)						
Central library						
Auditorium						
Canteen/Cafeteria/Toilet						
Blocks/Misc.						
Hostel						
Total						

Component 3: Infrastructure Grants to University

Following RUSA Guideline submit under each head the estimated cost under the following table. Aggregate cost for funding support should not exceed Rs. 20 crore for each public University.

1. The separate table for all the universities which have proposed grant may be scrutinised at the state level and submitted

	Unit	University 1		Unive	ersity 2	University 3 &c	
Name of the University							
Category	Categor						
(Govt./Deemed/P	У						
rivate)							
NAAC	Grade						
Accreditation							
Whether	Yes /no						
included under							
12B of UCG Act							
		Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial
Details		Value	Value (Rs.	Value	Value (Rs.	Value	Value (Rs.
		(Area in	in lakhs)	(Area in	in lakhs)	(Area in	inlakhs)
		Sq.Mt.)-		Sq.Mt.)		Sq.Mt.)	
Academic building							
Administrative buil	ding						
Campus Developme	ent						
Laboratory							
Library							
Computer Centre/e	campus						
Hostels							
Toilets							
Sports equipn	nent/play						
facilities							
Classrooms							
Auditorium							
Canteen/ Cafeteria							
Play ground							
Books/Journals/e-R	Resources						
Aggregate							

2. A consolidated table may be given as follows in order of priority, highest to lowest (see the criteria of priority in the guideline under component 3):

Name of the university	Total cost (Rs. lakhs)	Priority criteria (see the
(mention State, private or		guideline)
deemed)		
1.		

2.	

Component 4: New Model Colleges (General)

1. At the state level the model colleges proposal sent by the universities may be scrutinized following the RUSA guidelines and funding priorities under the component. A maximum of 5 Proposals from a particular state may be proposed under the existing 374 Model College Scheme of general education.

The following information in the table below should be given:

Model College Scheme

	Indicator	Unit	Model college 1	Model college 2	Model college	Model college	Model college
			30380 =	00080 =	3	4	5
Whether College (Govt./ Aided)							
District under which model college is proposed							
Is it EBD District		Yes/No.					
Reservation for socially & economically weaker section	% of Hostel seats	%					
Whether a new MDC		Yes/No					
Sanctioned /Established after 01.01.2008		Yes /no					
Available land area	Area	In acres					
Does the state commit to bear recurring expenses		Yes/no					
Number of colleges in the concerned district		Number					
No of Colleges per 1,00,000 students of 18-23 year age group in the district		Number					
Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district		%					
Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district		%					

Percent of SC and ST	%			
students enrolled				
to18-23 year age				
group SC and ST				
population in the				
district				

2. State should submit the following financial plan for each model college proposed (Cost per Sq. Meter as per RUSA Guideline):

Model College 1		Wodel C	College - 2	Model College - 3		
Physical Value (Area in Sq.Mt))	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area in Sq.Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	Physical Value (Area Sq.Mt.)	Financial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	
\ (/alue Area in	Value (Rs. Area in lakhs)	Value (Rs. Value Area in lakhs) (Area in	/alue Value (Rs. Value Value Area in lakhs) (Area in (Rs. in	ValueValue (Rs. In lakhs)Value (Area in lakhs)Value (Rs. In lakhs)Value (Area in lakhs)	

3. A consolidated table of all model colleges may be given:

Model College	Total cost (Rs. lakhs)	Reasons in support of modal college
Α		
В		
С		
D		

_	
F	
L	

Component 5: Up gradation of existing Degree Colleges to Model Degree Colleges

1. At the state level the model colleges proposal sent by the universities may be scrutinized following the RUSA guidelines and funding priorities under the component. A maximum of 5 Proposals from a particular state may be proposed under the existing Degree Colleges to Model Degree Colleges through the conversion of existing college. The following information in the table below should be given:

Model College Scheme

	In dianta:	I Line it	N A = -1 - 1	NA - J.J	N.A 1 - 1	NA - ded	NA - d - l
	Indicator	Unit	Model	Model	Model	Model	Model
			Colleg	Colleg	Colleg	Colleg	College
		_	e 1	e 2	e 3	e 4	5
Whether the		category					
colleges							
Govt./Aided							
District under		Name					
which model							
college is							
proposed							
Number of		Number					
colleges in the							
concerned							
district							
Is it an EBD		Yes/No					
District							
No. Of districts		number					
where							
upgradation can							
be done as per							
RUSA guidelines							
Have the		Yes/no					
districts where							
upgradation can							
be done, been							
prioritized on							
the basis of CPI							
Reservation for	% of hostel seats	%					
socially &							
economically							
weaker sections							
No of Colleges		number					
per 1,00,000							
students of							
18-23 year age							
group in the							
district							
Percent of SC		%					
and ST							
population to							
total in the							

district				
Percent of	%			
Female students				
enrolled to18-23				
year age group				
female				
population in the				
district				
Percent of SC	%			
and ST students				
enrolled to18-23				
year age group				
SC and ST				
population in the				
district				

	Model College 1			Mode	l College	- 2	Model College – 3&c		
Details of existing college	New Construc tion /Renovat ion (Pls. Specify)	Physical Value (Area in Sq.M t))	Finan cial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	New Construc tion /Renovat ion	Physical Value (Areain Sq.M t.)	Finan cial Value (Rs. in lakhs)	New Construc tion /Renovat ion	Physical Value (Area Sq.M t.)	Finan cial Value (Rs. in lakhs)
Administrativ e building and common facilities									
Seminar Room/Comm ittee room									
Hostels (Separate for boys and girls)									
Toilets (Separate for boys and girls)									
Laboratory									
Library Computer Centre									
Academic Block (Classrooms)									
Common Room for Students									
Canteen/Caf									

eteria					
Auditorium					
Campus					
Development					
Sports facility					
Books/Journ					
als/e-					
resources					

3. A consolidated table of all model colleges may be given:

Model College	Total cost (Rs. lakhs)	Reasons in support of modal college
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Component 6: Professional Colleges (New)

- 1. At the state level the professional colleges (new) proposal sent by the universities may be scrutinized following the RUSA guidelines and funding priorities under the component. At the state level Directorate of Technical Education may be consulted for new professional colleges in the state. A maximum of 5 Proposals from a particular state may be proposed under the new professional college scheme. The following information in the table below should be given:
- 2. University may suggest the establishment of new professional college. The following information in the table below should be given:

	Indicator	Unit	Professional	Professional	Professional	Professional	Professional
			college A	college B	college C	college D	college E
District		Name					
under which							
professional							
college is							
proposed							
Number of		number					
Professional							
colleges in							
the							
concerned							
district							
No of		%					

professional Colleges per 1,00,000 students of 18-23 year age group in the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of semale students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC so and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC so and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC so and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC so and ST students enrolled to18-24 year age group SC so and ST student servation SC and ST student servation SC and ST seatio seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Ratio teacher ratio					1	
1.00,000 students of 18-23 year age group in the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled sto18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST student seats ecconomically weaker section Student teacher ratio Ratio teaching Ratio	professional					
students of 18-23 year age group in the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-33 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-32 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrol						
18-23 year age group in the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of SC end ST end strict Reservation end strict Reservation end strict Student teacher ratio Teaching to end strict Ratio e	1,00,000					
age group in the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to 18-25 year age group SC and ST section Student seats Section Student teacher ratio Ratio teacher Rat	students of					
the district Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats sconomically weaker section Student teacher ratio Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	18-23 year					
Percent of SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 14-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	age group in					
SC and ST population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST soudents enrolled source servation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Ratio to non teaching	the district					
population to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats Conomically weaker section Student teacher ratio Ratio teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	Percent of		%			
to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST student was expected to the seats expection of the seats expection student was expected to the seats expection student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching to non teaching	SC and ST					
to total in the district Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST students enrolled total-2-3 year age group SC and ST student was expected to the seats expection of the seats expection student was expected to the seats expection student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching to non teaching	population					
Percent of Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats **G Hostel %* **Seats** **Ratio** **Ratio** **Ratio** **Teaching to non teaching** **Ratio** **Ratio**						
Female students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats Students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats Student exconomically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	the district					
students enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student Eaching to non teaching	Percent of		%			
enrolled to 18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	Female					
18-23 year age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	students					
age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	enrolled to					
age group female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	18-23 year					
female population in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching						
in the district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching						
district Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to 18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	population					
Percent of SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	in the					
SC and ST students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	district					
students enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	Percent of		%			
enrolled to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	SC and ST					
to18-23 year age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	students					
age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	enrolled					
age group SC and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	to18-23 year					
and ST population in the district Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching						
in the district Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching						
district Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	population					
Reservation for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	in the					
for socially & seats economically weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	district					
economically weaker section Ratio Teaching to non teaching	Reservation	% of Hostel	%			
economically weaker section Ratio Teaching to non teaching	for socially &	seats				
weaker section Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	economically					
Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching						
Student teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching	section					
teacher ratio Teaching to non teaching Ratio			Ratio			
non teaching						
non teaching	Teaching to		Ratio			

2. University should submit the following financial plan for each professional college proposed above (Cost per Sq. Meter as per RUSA Guideline):

Professional	Professional	Professional	Professional	Professional
college – A	college – B	college – C	College -D	College-E

The proposed college falls										
under, please										
specify (Tier-										
1/Tier-2/Tier-3)										
Details for the	Physical	Financial								
proposed	Value	Value								
college	(Area in	(Rs. in								
	Sq.Mt)	lakhs)	Sq.Mt.)	lakhs)	Sq.Mt.)	lakhs)	Sq.Mt.)	lakhs)	Sq.Mt.)	lakhs)
Administrative										
Building										
Seminar room										
Library										
Academic Block										
(classrooms etc)										
Electronics Lab										
IT Lab Electrical										
CNC Lab										
Mechatronics										
Lab										
Chemical Lab										
Civil Lab										
Instrumentation										
Lab										
Workshop										
Computer										
Centre cum										
Cyber Café										
Conference										
Room										
Confidential										
Room										
Committee/Synd										
icate Room										
Common Room										
for students										
Toilet Block										
Cafeteria										
Hostel										
Total										

3. A consolidated table of all new proposed professional colleges may be given:

Professional College	Total cost (Rs. lakhs)	Reasons in support of new professional
		college
А		
В		
С		

D	
E	

Component 7: Infrastructure Grants to Colleges

1. Scrutiny at the state level should carefully examine the funding priority given in the RUSA guideline. The information is given in the institutional plan of college and PG Departments. After scrutiny at the state level Institutional Development Plan of a college, under the component, prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to infrastructure grant to college with a maximum limit of Rs. 2 crore for each college for each university and present the information in the following table:

	Indicator	Unit	College 1	College 2	College 3
Name of the College					
Category		Category			
(Govt./Aided/Privat					
e)					
NAAC Accreditation		Grade			
Whether included		Yes /no			
under 12B of UCG					
Act					
Area of the	Pls.	Category			
proposed College	Specify				
fall under	(Tier-				
	1/Tier-				
	2/Tier-3)				
Year of		Year			
establishment					
Number of students		Number			
enrolled	-				
SC	Number/t	Ratio			
	otal				
ST	Number/t	Ratio			
	otal				
OBC	Number/t	Ratio			
	otal				
Women students	Number/t	Ratio			
	otal				

Physical & Financial Information:

	College – 1			College - 2			College - 3		
	New	Physi	Finan	New	Physi	Finan	New	Physi	Finan
Details of	Construc	cal	cial	Construc	cal	cial	Construc	cal	cial
existing	tion	Value	Value	tion	Value	Value	tion	Value	Value
college	/Renovat	(Area	(Rs. in	/Renovat	(Area	(Rs. in	/Renovat	(Area	(Rs. in

	ion (Pls.	in Sa M	lakhs)	ion	in Sa M	lakhs)	ion	Sq.M	lakhs)
	Specify)	Sq.M t))			Sq.M t.)			t.)	
Hostels									
(Separate for									
boys and									
girls)									
Toilets									
(Separate for									
boys and									
girls)									
Laboratory									
Computer									
Centre									
Classrooms									
(including									
technological									
ly enabled									
classrooms)									
Common									
room for									
students									
Canteen/Caf									
eteria									
Academic									
Buildings									
Administrativ									
e buildings									
Campus									
development									
Library									
Auditorium									
Sports facility									
Books/Journ									
als/e-									
Resources									

University A: Infrastructure Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name	of	the	College	in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descen	ding	(order	of				
importa	ance							
1	•	•	•					

2		
••••		
••••		

2. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to infrastructure grant to the department with a maximum limit of Rs. 2 crore for each department for each university and present the information in the following table:

Infrastructure Grant to University Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Post	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
graduate department in				
descending order of				
importance				
1				
2				
••••				
••••				

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

Component 8: Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement

1. Scrutiny at the state level should carefully examine the funding priority given in the RUSA guideline. The information is given in the institutional plan of college and PG Departments. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a college, Prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to **Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement** grant to college with a maximum limit of Rs. 50 lakhs for each college and present the information in the following table:

Basic Information:							
Is the State/UT covered		Yes/no					
under this component							
Has the state/UT		Yes/no					
implemented							
reforms/given							
commitment to reforms							
Details – University & Colle	ege wise						
Details	Indicator	Unit	University -1	University -2 &C	College -1	College-2 &c	Remarks
Plans for research &		Rs. in					
innovation		lakhs					
Adoption of meta-		Rs. in					
university concept that		lakhs					
offer cross university							
education & credit							
transfer facility to							
students							
Procure high quality e-		Rs. in					
resources		lakhs					
Upgrade library and		Rs. in					
laboratory facilities		lakhs					
Facilities like Incubation		Rs. in					
centre, Innovation hubs,		lakhs					
etc.							
Initiatives to attract top-		Rs. in					
rated international faculty		lakhs					
Competitive		Rs. in					
compensation for faculty		lakhs					
Initiatives to attract high		Rs. in					
quality researchers and		lakhs					
students							

Merit-based scholarships	Number	
Fully-funded doctoral	Number	
fellowships		
Post-doctoral fellowships	Number	
Exposure visits for both	Number	
faculty and students		
Faculty and students	Number	
exchange programs with		
world-class institutions		
Initiatives to scale up	Number	
industry-academia		
partnership		
Promotion of inter-	Rs. in	
disciplinary and trans-	Lakhs	
disciplinary research		
centres		
Promotion of research	Rs. in	
and entrepreneurial activities	lakhs	
Support for the setting up	Rs. in	
of science parks & cutting	lakhs	
edge technology &	Idalis	
instrumentation facility		
Support different types of	Rs. in	
research programs	lakhs	
Top quality University-	Number	
Convergence model		
	Rs. in	
	lakhs	
Outreach and public	Rs. in	
engagement facility	lakhs	
Staff excellence and	Rs. in	
organizational	lakhs	

stewardship	
Support in bifurcating undergraduate,	Rs. in lakhs
postgraduate and research programs	
Identify a few depts. Or	Number
fields of critical importance and move them into a position of world leadership	Rs. in lakhs
Special grants to faculty for conducting outstanding research	Rs. in lakhs

University A: Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the College in	Name Research, Innovation	2014-	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending order of	and Quality Improvement	15			
importance	measures				
1.					
2.					
••••					
••••					

2. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to **Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement** grant to the department with a maximum limit of Rs. 10 lakhs for each department and present the information in the following table:

Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement Grant to University Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Post	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
graduate department in				
descending order of				
importance				

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

Component 9: Equity Initiatives

1. Scrutiny at the state level should carefully examine the funding priority given in the RUSA guideline. The information is given in the institutional plan of college and PG Departments. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a college, Prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to **Equity Initiatives** grant to college with a maximum limit of Rs. 3 lakhs for each college and present the information in the following table:

Basic information		
Is the state/UT due to receive funds under this component in 12 th plan	Yes/no	
Has the state prioritized colleges for funding	Yes/no	
Colleges prioritized for fundind	Number	
Has the state prioritized PG Depts. In Universities for funding	Yes/no	

Details – University & College wise

Details	Univer	rsity -1	University -2		University-3 &c		
	Physical	Financial (Rs. in	Physical	Financial	Physical	Financial	
	(Number)	lakhs)	(Number)	(Rs. in	(Number)	(Rs. in	
				lakhs)		lakhs)	
Equal opportunity cells							
Plan to create remedial							
classes language labs,							
etc.							
Plan to create financial							
aid and scholarships for							
socially and							
economically backward							
students							
Plan to create equity							
and gender sensitization							
campaigns							
Plan for Innovative							
schemes/programs to							
enhance equity and							
inclusion							

University A: Equity Initiatives Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name of	the	College	in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending		order	of				
importance							

2. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to **Equity Initiatives** grant to the department with a maximum limit of Rs. 3 lakhs for each department and present the information in the following table:

University A: Equity Initiatives Grant to University Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Post graduate department in descending order of importance	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
·				

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

Component 10: Faculty Recruitment Support

Funding support for faculty recruitment should be scrutinised at the state level. Based on critical shortage of faculty and commitment of state to support under state non plan the post of teachers state has to forward the proposal for consideration (See the guideline of RUSA)

 Based on Institutional Development Plan of a college and further forwarded by the university, prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to **Faculty Recruitment Support** grant to college with a maximum limit of 3 subjects/teachers for each college and present the information in the following table:

Basic Informatino											
Has the state/UT		Yes/no									
committed to or											
is committing to											
take over liability											
of faculty											
positions at the											
end of 13 th plan											
Has the state		Yes/no									
prioritized the											
University PG											
Dept., for FRS?											
Details – University	y /Institutio	n wise									
Details	Indicato	Unit	University	· -1	Univers	sity -2 &c	Administ	rative Staff	Administrat	ive St	taff
	r						College -1	<u>L</u>	College-2 &	С	
Assistant		Numbe									
Professors/equiv		r									
alent cadre											
cacant											
Will all there		Yes/no									
faculties											
recruited taken											
as permanent											
faculties as per											
state govt. rules?											
Recruitments	Regular	Ratio									
taken place in	recruitm										
last 3 yrs	ents										
	/vacant										
	position										
Contractual	Contract	Ratio									
recruitment	ual										
taken place in	recruitm										

last 3 years	ent/vaca					
	nt					
	position					
Contractual posts	Number	Ratio				
proposed to be	/total					
converted to	contract					
regular posts	ual posts					
Student teacher	Average	Ratio				
ratio	(over					
	the					
	entire					
	state)					
FRS grants	Amount	In lakhs				
Proposed						

University A: Faculty Recruitment Support Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name of	the	College	in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending	3	order	of				
importanc	е						

2. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to **Faculty Recruitment Support** grant to the department with a maximum limit of 3 subjects for each department and present the information in the following table:

University A: Faculty Recruitment Support Grant to University Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Pos	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
graduate department i	1			
descending order o	f			
importance				

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

Component 11: Faculty Improvement

For faculty improvement the Academic Staff Colleges have to plan. The proposal sent by the university has to be scrutinized at the state level on the basis of RUSA guidelines. The proposal after scrutiny should be presented in the tabular form:

Norms	Indicator	Unit	Training Institute -1	Training Institute 2 & c	University -1	University -2 &c	Academic Staff college - 1	Academic Staff college - 2 &C
financial support planned by the state		Rs. in cores						
Funds for training / other faculty improvements	Academic faculty	Rs. In lakhs						
improvements	Other Administrative & Support Staff	Rs. in lakhs						
Funds required for Books / e-resources		Rs. in lakhs						
Funds required for Maintenance-related costs		Rs. in lakhs						
Academic faculty								
Administrative & Support Staff								
Building Academic & Administrative	Area in Sq. M	Area						
	Amount	In lakhs						
Hostel (Sq. M.)	Area in Sq. M	Area						
Drogramma	Amount	In Lakhs						
Programme cost								

Furniture/Equipment				
Other				
Total				

Component 12: Vocationalization of Higher Education

1. State level scrutiny should be based as per RUSA guidelines. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a college, Prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to **Vocationalization of Higher Education** grant to college with a maximum limit of Rs. 5 lakhs for each college and present the information in the following table:

University A: Vocationalization of Higher Education Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name of	the	College	in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending	(order	of				
importance							

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

2. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to **Vocationalization of Higher Education** grant to the department with a maximum limit of Rs. 5 lakh for each department and present the information in the following table:

Basic Information					
is the state/UT covered					
under this component in 12th plan period	yes/no				
Has the State prioritized					
the colleges for VHE					
grants?	yes/no				
Has the State prioritized					
the University PG Deptt.					
for VHE grants?	yes/no				
VHE Details about University	ity				
Norms	Indicator	Unit	University - 1	University – 2	University - 3&c
Infrastructure Support	Amount	Rs. in lakhs			
Implementation of Curriculum reforms	Amount	Rs. in lakhs			
Implementation of Career oriented courses	Amount	Rs. in lakhs			
Total	Amount	Rs. in			

University A: Vocationalization of Higher Education Grant to University Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Post graduate department in descending order of importance	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
			_	

Component 13: Leadership Development of Educational Administrators

1. State level scrutiny should be based as per RUSA guidelines. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a college, Prioritize the college in descending order of importance with respect to **Leadership Development of Educational Administrators** grant to college with a maximum limit of Rs. 5 lakhs for each college and present the information in the following table:

University A: Leadership Development of Educational Administrators Grant to Colleges (Rs. lakhs)

Name of	the	College	in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending		order	of				
importance							

Note: number of rows may be increased depending upon the number of institutions Similarly give above table for university B, C,.......

3. Based on Institutional Development Plan of a post graduate department, Prioritize the department in descending order of importance with respect to **Leadership Development of Educational Administrators** grant to the department with a maximum limit of Rs. 5 lakh for each department and present the information in the following table:

Norms	Indicator	Unit	University 1	University 2	College 1	College 2
Commitment to provide leadership positions to at least 40% of the persons trained		yes/no				
Age profile of prospective leaders	Below 50 years / total	Ratio				
% of women faculty to participate in leadership programs		%				
Leadership Development Programmes proposed		Number				
		Rs. In lakhs				

University A: Leadership Development of Educational Administrators Grant to University

Post Graduate Department (Rs. lakhs)

Name of the University Post graduate department in	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
descending order of				
importance				

Component 14: Institutional Restructuring and Reforms

Refer to the RUSA Guidelines and prepare a detailed project report for three components. State Higher Education Council and State Accreditation Agency. The project report of State Higher Education shall include the State Project Directorate and Project Approval Board. The project reports for two bodies shall be separate. The project report should include the organisational structure, objectives, infrastructural arrangement, activities undertaken for academic and administrative reforms such as curricular reform, semesterisation, credit system, continuous and comprehensive evaluation, innovative practices - academic, governance, IT related - etc. A detailed financial plan for salary, infrastructure cost, workshops, seminars, meetings, travel, taining, hiring consultants, operating and contingencies may be given. Specifically State Accreditation agency should include activities on the pattern of NAAC. Upper limit to a state under this component shall be Rs. 10 crores during 12th plan.

Norms	Indicator	Unit	Value
State Accreditation Agency created		yes/no	
Undertaken/committed to sectoral, academic & governance reforms		yes/no	
Funds for SHEC			
	organizing meetings/workshops/trainings	Rs. In lakhs	
	administration-related	Rs. In lakhs	
State Resource Centre	Consultants	number	
	Consultants	Rs. In lakhs	
	administration-related	Rs. In lakhs	

Funds for State Project			
Directorate	organizing	Rs. In	
	meetings/workshops/trainings	lakhs	
		Rs. In	
	administration-related	lakhs	

Component 15: Capacity Building and Preparation, Data Collection and Planning

As per RUSA Guidelines, funds will be given to States and Union Territories to

- Undertake baseline surveys
- Data collection and compilation.
- Organise meetings, consultations, workshops, trainings
- Hire consultants
- . Preparation of State perspective plans/strategy reports

Upper limit to a state under this component shall be Rs. 5 crores during 12th plan. A financial plan under above headings should be submitted.

Norms	Indicator	Unit	Value
Baseline surveys	Amount	Rs. In lakhs	
Data collection and compilation.	Amount	Rs. In lakhs	
Organise meetings, consultations, workshops, trainings	Amount	Rs. In lakhs	
Preparation of State perspective plans/strategy reports	Amount	Rs. In lakhs	

Component 16: Management Information System

Funds will be provided to create and maintain strong data systems at the State level for surveys and analysis that could provide information to the national MIS up to a maximum limit of Rs. 2 crores.

Norms	Indicator	Unit	Value
State MIS set up?			
		yes/no	
Equipments procurred?		yes/no	
organizing MIS -related		number	
workshops/trainings		Rs. In	
	Funds	lakhs	
Hiring MIS consultants		number	
		Rs. In	
	Funds	lakhs	

Component 17: Support to Polytechnics

In consultation with the Technical Education department the project report under the component may be prepared. Funding will be provided for

- . Setting up of new polytechnics
- Strengthening of existing polytechnics
- Construction of women's hostels in polytechnics
- Scheme of community development through polytechnics (CDTP) to provide non-formal, short-term, employment oriented skill development programmes through AICTE approved polytechnics.

A detailed project report based on the guidelines need to be submitted within an upper limit of finance.

Basic Information					
Districts without polytechnics	Number				
State's commitment for bearing	_				
non recurring expenditure	yes/no			1	
AICTE Approved Govt./Govt. Aided polytechnics	Number				
Norms	Indicator	Unit	Polytechnic 1	Polytechnic 2	Polytechnic 3&c
Funds required	Building & Construction infrastructure	Rs. in lakhs			
	Equipment purchase	Rs. in lakhs			
Funds required	Modern Equipment purchase	Rs. in lakhs			
	IT Applications in teaching	Rs. In lakhs			
		Number			
	New Diploma Courses	Rs. in lakhs			
Funds required for Hostel infrastructure	Building (with toilet) & Mess facilities	Rs. in lakhs			
	Development of lawn area	Rs. in lakhs			
	Reading room in hostel premises	Rs. in lakhs			
	Lounge/visiting area	Rs. in lakhs			
Funds required Community Development Scheme (CDTP)	Employment oriented skills (Tailoring, sewing, painting, etc.)	Rs. in lakhs			

Component 18: Management Monitoring Evaluation and Research (MMER)

As per the RUSA Guidelines

State eligible to participate in RUSA as per fund equalization formula			
			yes/no
Creation of State TSG	Funds required	Administrative & Monitoring expenses	amount in lakhs
		Cost for annual audit	amount in lakhs

Consolidated Table of Institutional Development Plan (Name of the State)

Component	Name	Cost (Rs. lakhs)			
1	Creation of Universities by way of upgradation of existing Autonomous Colleges	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	Total
2	Creation of Universities by conversion of colleges in a cluster				
3	Infrastructure Grants to Universities				
4	New Model Colleges (General)				
5	Upgradation of existing Degree Colleges to Model Degree Colleges				
6	New Colleges (Professional)				
7	Infrastructure Grants to University				
8	Research, Innovation and Quality Improvement				
9	Equity Initiatives				
10	Faculty Recruitment Support				
12	Vocationalisation of Higher Education				
13	Leadership Development of Educational Administrators				
14	Institutional Restructuring and Reforms				
15	Capacity Building and Preparation, Data Collection and Planning				

16	Management Information		
	System		
17	Support to Polytechnics		
18	Management Monitoring Evaluation and Research (MMER)		
Total			